### Insecticidal effect of lemongrass oil on behavioural responses and biochemical changes in cowpea weevil, *Callosobruchus maculatus* (Fabricius)

Segun E. Omotoso<sup>1</sup>, Bolajoko A. Akinpelu<sup>1</sup>, Olalekan J. Soyelu<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Faculty of Science, Obafemi Awolowo University, 220005 Ile-Ife, Osun State, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup>Department of Crop Production and Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Obafemi Awolowo University, 220005 Ile-Ife, Osun State, Nigeria

#### Abstract

The cowpea weevil, Callosobruchus maculatus (Fabricius), is the most important postharvest insect pest of cowpeas throughout the tropics causing about 70% infestation within 6 months of storage. Control interventions have often been by way of synthetic chemical application continues to motivate search for alternative control measures. The present study was conducted to evaluate insecticidal effect of essential oil (EO) from lemongrass, Cymbopogon citratus (DC.) Stapf, against the weevil. Air-dried lemongrass leaves were milled into powder, the EO was extracted by hydro-distillation using a Clevenger-type apparatus and the extract was dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate. Acute toxicity was determined by inhalation over a period of 24 h using five serial concentrations (0.62, 1.28, 2.50, 5.00 and 10.00 mg/ml) of the EO on filter papers. The median lethal concentration (LC50) was determined from mortality data using probit analysis and three sublethal concentrations (1.41, 2.83 and 5.66 mg/ml) were used in subsequent antioviposition test and biochemical assays. Repellency against the weevils was tested using 2 ml 10 mg/ml EO concentration applied evenly on ½ disc of filter paper. Weevil homogenates were assayed for acetylcholinesterase (AChE), glutathione-Stransferase (GST), reduced glutathione (GSH), superoxide dismutase (SOD), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and aspartate aminotransferase (AST) activities. Changes in the concentrations of total protein (TPR) and glycogen (GLY) as influenced by dosage and exposure time to the EO were also determined. The results showed that the EO had effective insecticidal properties against the pest. Weevil mortality was concentration- and time-dependent and 80% death rate was attained within 24 h of inhaling 10 mg/ml EO with a LC<sub>50</sub> value of 7.07 mg/ml. The EO repelled a significantly higher number of weevils (66%) and fewer eggs were laid on cowpea seeds treated with the EO. The values significantly with increasing EO concentrations giving an evidence of induced physiological stress in exposed weevils. Kinetics of the assayed enzymes and physiological implications for the storage pest were highlighted. In addition, potential of lemongrass EO as an alternative to synthetic chemicals was discussed.

Keywords: bioassay, Cymbopogon citratus, Callosobruchcus maculatus, enzymes, essential oil, insecticides.

\*Corresponding author: Olalekan J. Soyelu, E-mail: jlekan2001@yahoo.co.uk



#### 1. Introduction

Plant-derived insecticides are a group of naturally occurring protective agents that are usually safer to humans and with effects minimal residual in the environment compared synthetic to pesticides. **Biopesticides** contain alkaloids, rotenoids and pyrethrins and other groups of natural chemicals that could act as insecticides, deterrents or repellents (Adeyemi, 2010). These secondary metabolites protect plants against herbivores by acting as toxicants and interfering with reproductive system and other physiological processes in insect pests (Rattan, 2010). Essential oils (EOs) are natural volatile and strongly odorous compounds produced as secondary metabolites by aromatic plants. They were formed by complex volatile mixtures of chemical compounds, with predominance of terpene associated with aldehyde, alcohols and ketone deposited in various plant structures (Linares et al., 2005). Naturally, EOs protect plants by fighting against bacteria, viruses and fungi. They also act as insecticides by feeding functioning as deterrents, attractants or repellents (Bakkali et al., 2008). Some EOs have been reported to possess ovicidal and larvicidal properties against various insect pests (Cetin et al., 2004; Isman, 2000). Studies by Ayvaz et al. (2010) showed that EOs from oregano and savory were highly effective against interpunctella Plodia (Hübner) and Ephestia kuehniella Zeller, with 100% mortality obtained after 24 h at 9 and 25 µl/l. Kabera et al. (2011) also reported 100% mortality in maize weevil. Sitophilus zeamais (Motschulsky), treated with EOs of Pelargonium graveolens L'Hér. and Cymbopogon citratus (DC.) Stapf. Cymbopogon citratus commonly known as citronella grass or lemongrass, is a bunch of perennial grass growing to a height of 1 m with numerous stiff leafy

stems arising from short rhizomatous roots with an economic lifespan of about 5 vears (Carianne. 2005). Many ethnobotanical and medicinal uses of the plant have been documented in literature (Tripathi et al., 2009). The EO in lemongrass is biosynthesized in the rapidly growing leaves and stored in specific oil cells in the parenchymal tissues (Santoro et al., 2007; Luthra et al., 1999). The major constituent of lemongrass oil is citral (a mixture of geranial and neral) and other unusual active components include limonene, citronellal, ß-myrcene and geraniol (Schaneberg & Khan, 2002). Studies have shown that citral reduces attractivity of sex pheromone in the codling moth, Cydia pomonella (L.), thus acting as a mating disruptor (Hapke et al., 2001). Stored product insects constitute pest problems all over the world causing significant economic losses. The cowpea weevil. Callosobruchus maculatus (Fabricius), is a cosmopolitan field-tostore insect pest ranked as the principal post-harvest pest of cowpea in the tropics (Caswel, 1981). It causes substantial quantitative qualitative and losses manifested by seed perforation and reductions in weight, market value and germination ability of seeds (Oluwafemi, 2012). The use of synthetic insecticides to control pest infestation has impacted drastically on biotic and abiotic elements of the environment through excessive or indiscriminate use (Al-Zaidi et al., 2011). Some of the negative impacts are contamination of food and water sources, toxicity against beneficial insects and pesticide resistance in target insects (Kumar et al., 2008). A large number of synthetic pesticides exhibit potential for biomagnification, thereby, affecting food chains adversely (Gavrilescu, 2005; 1994). Detoxification is the Linde. metabolic process by which toxins or contaminated resources are changed into

less toxic or more readily excretable Several defensive substances. mechanisms and biochemical reactions are involved in detoxification processes against chemical intruders. These mechanisms predominantly involve either metabolic detoxification of the insecticide before it reaches its target site or the sensitivity of the target site changes so that it is no longer responsive to the active ingredient (Sun, 1992; Hama et al., 1987). A number of enzymes such as esterase, glutathione-S-transferase (GST), superoxide dismutase (SOD), reduced glutathione (GSH) and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) participate in various defensive mechanisms (Nedal & 2009). Hassan, The present study investigated repellency and antioviposition activity of lemongrass oil against the cowpea weevil. It also assessed the effects on oxidative stress enzymes (SOD and GSH), xenobiotic detoxifying enzyme (GST), neurochemical enzyme (acetylcholinesterase: AChE) and energy metabolism biomolecules (glycogen and protein) in the insect pest with a view to establishing the insecticidal efficacy of the EO.

### 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1 Insect rearing

Callosobruchus maculatus was reared on inside cowpea seeds the Insect Physiology Laboratory, Faculty of Agriculture. Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife at 28  $\pm$  2°C and 75  $\pm$ 5% RH. Cowpea seeds were bought from the local market and cleaned by handpicking. The pack of seeds was then kept in a deep freezer (sub-zero) for at least a week to eliminate infesting insects. mites or disease-causing microorganisms. At the end of the cold treatment, cowpea seeds were poured into 2 L glass jars, cowpea weevils were introduced and the containers were covered with muslin cloth for ventilation. The insects were reared for about three generations before newly-emerged adult weevils were selected for different experiments.

# 2.2 Extraction of essential oil from lemongrass

Fresh leaves of C. citratus were collected from Mokuro Road. Ile-Ife and authenticated Ife Herbarium, at of Department Botany, Obafemi Awolowo University. The materials were air-dried until crispy and milled to powder using a mill with rotatory knives for proper extraction. The essential oil was extracted from milled leaves following the procedure of hydrodistillation described by Papachristos and Stamopoulos (2004). Powdered С. citratus (500 g) was mixed in distilled water (3000 ml) and hydro-distilled for 6 h in a Clevenger apparatus. The oil was dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) to remove water molecules and refrigerated at 4°C for subsequent experiments.

## 2.3 Toxicity of lemongrass oil against adult *Callosobruchus maculatus*

The acute toxicity of *C. citratus* oil against *C. maculatus* was investigated by inhalation according to the method described by Ogunsina et al. (2011). Separate Whatman No. 1 filter papers were treated with different concentrations (0.62, 1.28, 2.50, 5.00 and 10.00 mg/ml) of *C. citratus* oil while

other filter papers were treated separately with ethanol and distilled water for comparison of results. The filter papers were air-dried for ethanol to evaporate after which each of them was placed inside 4.5 cm diameter Petri dishes. Ten newly emerged C. maculatus were transferred into each Petri dish which was then covered and its side sealed using a paper tape to prevent escape of vapour. Each treatment was replicated three times and insects were monitored for signs of toxicity and mortality at 1, 6, 12, 18 and 24 h after exposure. The number of insects that were dead at each observation hour was recorded per treatment.

#### 2.4 Repellency test

A slight modification of the still-air olfactometer described by Weeks et al. (2011) was used to evaluate the action of C. Citratus EO against C. maculatus. A Whatman's No. 1 filter paper was divided into two halves; 2 ml 10 mg/ml essential oil was applied evenly on one while 2 ml 95% ethanol was applied on the other half using a micropipette. The two halves were allowed to air-dry for 5-10 min before they were attached lengthwise and placed inside the Petri dish. Twenty newly emerged adult C. maculatus were released in the middle of the Petri dish and proportion (%) of weevils that settled on each half of the filter paper disc was determined after 1 h as

 $\frac{\text{Number of weevils in the } x \text{ half of filter paper disc}}{\text{Total number of weevils introduced into the olfactometer per trial}} \times 100\%$ 

If in a trial 30% of introduced weevils were found in the EO portion of the disc, it means that percent repellency by the oil was 70%. A total of 20 trials was carried out and percent repellency due to the essential oil and ethanol was recorded accordingly.

## 2.5 Anti-oviposition activity of lemongrass oil

The method described by Parugrug and Roxas (2008) was followed with little modification. Cowpea grains (50 g) were treated with three sublethal concentrations (1.41, 2.83 and 5.66 mg/ml) of the EO in triplicated 1.5 L glass jars. Jars containing grains for positive and negative control experiments were treated with distilled water and 95% ethanol, respectively. The jars were left opened long enough for the solvent to evaporate after which an aspirator was used to introduce 30 newly emerged C. maculatus into each of them. The jars were then covered with net material and left for 7 days. At the expiration of this period of oviposition, the weevils were removed and each grain per glass jar was examined carefully under a dissecting microscope to count the number of eggs laid on the surface. Eggs (about 0.75 mm long) are glued on the surface of seeds and they appear as whitish specks.

#### 2.6 Preparation of insect homogenate

The weevils were reared on cowpea grains that had been treated with the three sublethal concentrations listed in subsection 2.5 and insect homogenate was prepared using the method of Upadhyay (2011). The insects (200 mg) were homogenized in 1.5 ml freshly prepared phosphate buffer (100 mM, pH 6.8) and the homogenate was centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 20 min using Ice-cold Bench Centrifuge (Searchtech 90-2). The supernatant was carefully collected in a clean vial and stored in a freezer until it was needed for biochemical assays.

## **2.7 Determination of total protein concentration in insect homogenate**

Protein estimation was carried out using the method of Lowry et al. (1951). Insect homogenate (0.2 ml) was added to 2.1 ml alkaline copper reagent which was freshly prepared by mixing 2% Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> in 0.1 M NaOH, 1% CuSO<sub>4</sub>.5H<sub>2</sub>O and 1% Na-K tartrate.4H<sub>2</sub>O (98:1:1 v/v/v). The mixture was vortexed and allowed to stand for 10 min followed by the addition of 0.2 ml Folin-Ciocalteu colour reagent. The resulting reaction mixture was vortexed and allowed to stand at room temperature in the dark for an hour after which absorbance of the solution was read at 550 nm against a reagent blank. The blank was made of 0.2 ml distilled water and appropriate volume of the diluents and colour reagent. The protein concentration of the homogenate was estimated from a standard curve obtained using Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA).

#### 2.8 Estimation of reduced glutathione

Reduced glutathione (GSH) was measured according to the method of Beutler et al. (1963). One millimetre (1 ml) of the supernatant was added to 0.5 ml 10 mM Ellman's reagent (5,5'-dithiobis-[2-nitrobenzoic acid]) and 2 ml phosphate buffer (0.2 M, pH 8.0) was added. The yellow colour developed was read at 412 nm with a blank containing 3.5 ml phosphate buffer. A series of standards were also treated in similar manner and the amount of GSH was expressed in mg/200 mg homogenate.

#### 2.9 Glutathione-S-transferase activity

Activity of Glutathione-S-transferase (GST) was determined as described by Habig et al. (1974). Into a clean, dry test tube was pipetted 2.7 ml sodium phosphate buffer (0.1 M; pH 6.5), 0.1 ml 30 mM reduced glutathione and 0.1 ml 30 mM 1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene (CDNB). To the resulting mixture, 0.2 ml of insect hemolysate was added. The absorbance of the reacting mixture was measured at 340 nm at 15 s intervals for 3 min. Distilled water (0.2 ml) was used in lieu of hemolysate for the blank. The GST activity was calculated as below:

$$GST activity = \frac{\Delta A / \min \times DF \times V}{v \times \varepsilon}$$

Where  $\Sigma$  = extinction coefficient of CDNB (9.6 mM<sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>-1</sup>).  $\Delta$ A/min = change in absorbance per minute. V = reaction volume. DF = dilution factor. v = sample volume.

## 2.10 Estimation of Superoxide dismutase

Superoxide dismutase (SOD) was estimated as described by McCord and Fridovich (1969). To 50 µL lysate, 75 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.2), 30 mM EDTA and 2 mM pyrogallol were added and thoroughly mixed. An increase in absorbance was recorded at 420 nm for 3 min. One unit of enzyme activity represented 50% inhibition of the rate of autooxidation of pyrogallol as determined by change in absorbance/min at 420 nm. The activity of SOD was measured in unit/mg protein as expressed below:

Increase in absorbance per minute  $(\Delta A/min) = \frac{A_3 - A_0}{2.5}$ 

Where  $A_0$  = absorbance after 30 s.  $A_3$  = absorbance after 150 s.

% inihibition = 
$$\frac{(\Delta A/\min)^{Blank} - (\Delta A/\min)^{Test}}{(\Delta A/\min)^{Blank}} \times 100\%$$

 $(\Delta A/min)^{Blank}$  = Increase in absorbance per minute for blank.  $(\Delta A/min)^{Test}$  = Increase in absorbance per minute for test sample. 1 unit of SOD activity was given as the amount of SOD necessary to cause 50% inhibition of the oxidation of pyrogallol.

#### 2.11 Lactate dehydrogenase activity

The activity of Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) in insect homogenate was assayed using Randox Diagnostic Kit (Randox Laboratories Ltd, Antrim, UK) as described by Weisshaar et al. (1975). Insect homogenate (0.02 ml) was pipetted into 1 cm cuvette at room temperature  $(25^{\circ}C)$  and the reaction was initiated by adding 1.0 ml substrate. The initial absorbance was taken after 12 s at 340 nm and repeated first, second and third minute consecutively so as to obtain change in absorbance/min. The LDH activity was calculated as expressed below:

LDH activity (U/L) =  $4127 \times \Delta A 340$  nm/min

Where  $\Delta A =$  change in absorbance.

#### 2.12 Alanine aminotransferase activity

Alanine aminotransferase activity (ALT) was estimated according to the method of Reitman and Frankel (1957) using Randox Diagnostic Kit. The homogenate (0.1 ml) was pipetted into clean test tubes in triplicates and 0.5 ml of the buffer was added and mixed gently. The reaction mixture was incubated at 37°C for 30 min in a water bath. The reaction mixture was cooled and 0.5 ml 2.0 mM 2, 4dinitrophenlhydrazine was added. The mixture was mixed properly and allowed stand at room temperature for to additional 20 min after which 5 ml 0.4 M NaOH solution was added and mixed thoroughly. The absorbance of the reaction mixture was taken at 546 nm against a blank prepared with distilled water (0.1 ml) in place of the sample. The enzyme activity (expressed in IU/L protein) was extrapolated from а from an calibration curve obtained absorbance-enzyme activity table of values provided by the kit manufacturer.

### 2.13 Aspartate aminotransferase activity

The procedure was similar to that described for aminotransferase (AST) except that the buffered substrate consisted of 100 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.4), 100 mM L-aspartate and 2 mM  $\alpha$ -ketoglutarate.

#### 2.14 Acetylcholinesterase activity

Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) activity was determined according to the method of Ellman et al. (1961). Into microplate well was added, in triplicate, 240  $\mu$ l buffer (0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 8.0) and 20  $\mu$ l insect homogenate. The reaction mixture was incubated in a dry bath incubator for 30 min at 37°C after which 20  $\mu$ l 25 mM acetylthiocholine iodide and 20  $\mu$ l 10 mM 5,5'-dithio-bis-[2-nitrobenzoic acid] were added. Change in absorbance at 30 s intervals was measured spectrophotometrically for 4 min at 412 nm. The enzyme activity was calculated as follows:

Acetylcholinesterase activity (
$$\mu$$
 mole/min/ml) =  $\frac{\Delta A/\min \times V}{v \times \Sigma \times d}$ 

Where  $\Delta A/\min$  = change in absorbance per minute. V = total volume of reaction mixture. v = volume of test sample in reaction mixture.  $\Sigma$  = extinction coefficient of DTNB (1.36 x 10<sup>4</sup>mM<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>). d = light path length (1cm).

### 2.15 Estimation of Glycogen concentration

The insect glycogen was isolated and estimated according to the method of Oyedapo and Araba (2001). Insects (200 mg) were homogenized and transferred into separate test tubes containing 10 ml 30% (w/v) KOH. The tubes were heated at 70°C until the tissues were fully digested. Distilled water was added to the resulting burgundy red suspension to make the volume 20 ml followed by the addition of 18 ml 95% (v/v) cold ethanol. The mixture was mixed by inversion and chilled with ice. A white flocculent precipitate of impure glycogen was formed and this was collected by centrifugation at 3,000 rpm for 15 min. The precipitate was dissolved in 15 ml 5% (w/v) cold trichloroacetic acid (TCA) and stirred vigorously after which it was heated at 85°C for 5 min. The suspension was centrifuged at 5,000 rpm for 10 min and 25 ml cold 95% (v/v) ethanol was added to the supernatant, mixed by inversion and allowed to precipitate the glycogen. The precipitate was collected by centrifugation at 3,000 rpm for 10 min. The precipitated glycogen was dissolved in 5 ml distilled water and

shaken thoroughly until fully dissolved. To the solution was added 12 ml 95% (v/v) ethanol, while mixing with stirring rod and a white flocculent precipitate of glycogen was formed. The pure precipitate was centrifuged at 2,000 rpm for 10 min and the residue obtained was kept in a deep freezer for analysis. The standard glycogen calibration curve was prepared by pipetting 0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8 and 1.0 ml of the working solution (250 µg/ml), in triplicates, into clean dry test tubes and volumes were adjusted to 1.0 ml with distilled water. To each of the tubes was added 0.5 ml concentrated HCl, followed by 0.5 ml 88% (v/v) formic acid and 2.0 ml Anthrone reagent (0.02 g in 68% (v/v) sulphuric acid). The tubes were transferred into boiling water for 10 min after which they were cooled under running water. The absorbance was read at 630 nm against the blank and the insect glycogen concentration was extrapolated from the standard calibration curve.

#### 2.16 Statistical analysis

Percent mortality data were subjected to square root transformation before analysis of variance (ANOVA) was carried out and mean values were separated using Tukey's HSD test. Percent repellency data were also transformed and analyzed in a similar manner but mean values were separated using Fisher's LSD at 0.05 level of probability. On the other hand, count data obtained from anti-oviposition experiment were subjected to natural log transformation before ANOVA and mean values were separated using Tukey's HSD The median test. lethal concentration (LC<sub>50</sub>) was determined from mortality data using probit analysis in Microsoft Excel. Bioassay data were subjected to ANOVA procedure and mean values were separated using Tukey's HSD test.

#### 3. Results and Discussion

Generally, insect mortality was dose- and observation time-dependent (Table 1). Mortality was reported among insects exposed to 10 mg/ml EO within 1 h of application and it increased to an excellent level of 80% within 24 h. The maximum efficacies attained by other concentrations were 25% (2.5 mg/ml and 5.0 mg/ml), 10% (1.28 mg/ml) and 15% (0.62 mg/ml). Ketoh et al. (2000) in an earlier study recorded > 90% mortality in C. maculatus within 24 h of exposure to EOs from two Cymbopogon spp. This report and the results obtained in the current study indicated that members of the genus Cymbopogon may possess good insecticidal properties against the cowpea weevil. The 24 h LC<sub>50</sub> obtained for the EO against cowpea weevil was 7.07 mg/ml. This is an indication that toxicity of the EO against C. maculatus is superior to those of Cordia millenii Baker (Manjack) (LC<sub>50</sub> = 36.3 mg/ml), Zingiber officinale Roscoe (Ginger) ( $LC_{50} = 37.5$ 

mg/ml), *Xylopia aethiopica* (Dunal) (Negro pepper) (LC<sub>50</sub> = 43.8 mg/ml), Monodora myristica (Gaertn.) (Nutmeg)  $(LC_{50} = 47.5 \text{ mg/ml})$  and Allium sativum L. (Garlic) (LC<sub>50</sub> = 55.0 mg/ml) even at 96 h post-treatment (Edwin & Jacob, 2017). The lemongrass EO exhibited significant repelling and oviposition deterrence abilities against the cowpea weevil (Table 2). Approximately <sup>2</sup>/<sub>3</sub> of tested weevils was repelled by the oil and this effectiveness corroborates an earlier al., report (Jayasingha et 1999) establishing repelling efficacy of the essential oil against Dacus dorsalis (Diptera: Tephritidae). The antioviposition effect was dose-dependent with an average of 16 eggs laid on cowpea grains treated with 1.44 mg/ml EO. This was not significantly different from the number of eggs laid on grains without plant extract while significantly fewer eggs were laid when higher concentrations of EO were applied. This report is in agreement with Ketoh et al. (2000) where EOs of C. citratus and C. nardus (L.) Rendle elicited significant dose-dependent oviposition deterrence in C. maculatus. Plant EOs have also been reported to have ovicidal effect on eggs of C. chinensis (Dwivedi & Kumari, 2000; Pathak et al., 1997).

Time (h)		Concent	- Ethanol	Dlank			
	10.00	5.00	2.50	1.28	0.62	Ethanor	DIalik
1	15cA	0bB	0cB	0aB	0bB	0aB	0aB
6	35bA	15aAB	5bcBC	0aC	0bC	0aC	0aC
12	45bA	15aAB	10abcAB	10aAB	5abAB	5aAB	0aB
18	75aA	20aB	20abB	10aBC	5abBC	5aBC	0aC
24	80aA	25aAB	25aAB	10aB	15aB	5aB	5aB

Table 1: Percent mortality of cowpea weevils exposed to serial concentrations of lemongrass oil over a period of 24 hours.

Values with similar capital letters in the same row and similar small letters in the same column are not significantly different at 0.05 level of probability.

Α	Proportion of cowpea weevils repelled (%)									
	Lemongrass oil (10 mg/ml)Ethanol (extraction solvent)LSD_{0.05}									
	65.83 ± 0.32 34.17 ± 0.19 15.45									
В	Average number of eggs laid on cowpea seeds									
	Concent	Distilled water								
	5.66	2.83								
	0.33c 4.00b 16.00a 17.33a 22.67a									

Table 2: The repelling efficacy (A) and antioviposition effect (B) of lemongrass oil against the cowpea weevil.

Values with similar letters in the same row are not significantly different at 0.05 level of probability.

This has been attributed to ability of the oils to penetrate egg chorion, thereby, creating the deleterious hypercarbic condition (Don-Pedro, 1989). The mean square value and contribution of each source of variation to activity of each biochemical entity that was quantified in the present study is presented in Table (3).Time post-exposure and concentration of essential oil had significant effect ( $P \le 0.001$ ) on activity of superoxide dismutase and total protein. They also affected activity of acetylcholinesterase, glycogen and lactate dehydrogenase significantly. However,

experimental sources of these two variation did not have any significant effect activity of on alanine aminotransferase. Time post-exposure accounted for most of the variation in the activity of acetylcholinesterase (35%), aminotransferase aspartate (20%).alanine aminotransferase (11%), reduced glutathione (35%), glutathione-Stransferase (21%)superoxide and dismutase (47%) while concentration of essential oil accounted for a larger percentage in the case of glycogen depletion (75%), superoxide dismutase (28%) and total protein (65%).

Table 3: Mean square values from analysis of variance and percent contribution of each source of variation to biochemical reactions in cowpea weevils exposed to lemongrass oil.

Correspondent to the second se	df	Mean square value								
Source of variation		AChE	AST	ALT	GLY	GSH	GST	LDH	SOD	TPR
Time post-exposure	3	0.001064***	0.006382*	0.000709	76.683**	0.002849***	$4.75 \times 10^{-7*}$	6.068*	0.175***	2.360***
		(35.36%)	(20.11%)	(10.91%)	(9.17%)	(34.79%)	(21.18%)	(20.45%)	(46.97%)	(17.53%)
Concentration of	4	0.000497**	0.001368	0.000280	469.846***	0.000605	$1.28 \times 10^{-7}$	5.426*	0.077***	6.535***
essential oil		(2.20%)	(5.75%)	(5.74%)	(74.88%)	(9.85%)	(7.62%)	(24.39%)	(27.66%)	(64.75%)
Replication	1	0.000004	0.003054	0.000281	24.613	0.001172	$2.60 \times 10^{-14}$	0.000	0.008	0.000
		(0.04%)	(3.21%)	(1.44%)	(0.98%)	(4.77%)	(0.00%)	(0.00%)	(0.72%)	(0.00%)
Error	39	0.000124	0.002179	0.000515	12.124	0.000401	$1.54 \times 10^{-7}$	1.583	0.009	0.231
		(42.58%)	(70.94%)	(81.91%)	(14.97%)	(50.59%)	(71.20%)	(55.16%)	(24.65%)	(17.72%)
$R^2$		0.574	0.291	0.181	0.850	0.494	0.288	0.448	0.753	0.823
CV		46.60	13.21	10.41	19.96	27.83	49.10	20.69	34.19	16.16

\*,\*\*,\*\*\* significance at 0.05, 0.01 and 0.001 levels of probability, respectively. Percent contribution of each source of variation to changes in biochemical activity within exposed insects is presented in parentheses. AChE: Acetylcholinesterase, AST: Aspartate aminotransferase, ALT: Alanine aminotransferase, GLY: Glycogen, GSH: Reduced glutathione, GST: Glutathione-S-transferase, LDH: Lactate dehydrogenase, SOD: Superoxide dismutase, TPR: Total protein

This is an indication that the last three biochemical parameters were more sensitive to the active fraction of the EO. The *in vitro* biochemical activity of tested weevils, in both dose- and timedependent situations, is presented in Tables (4) and (5), respectively. Activity of alanine aminotransferase and reduced glutathione did not vary with concentration of EO whereas reduction in acetylcholinesterase, aspartate aminotransferase and glutathione-S-transferase activity, and depletion of glycogen and total protein were more pronounced at highest EO concentration of 5.66 mg/ml. The highest lactate dehydrogenase activity was recorded when 5.66 mg/ml EO was applied and the oil, irrespective of its concentration, clearly reduced the superoxide activity of dismutase. Activity of alanine aminotransferase remained comparable between the 6<sup>th</sup> and 24<sup>th</sup> hour of exposure whereas the suppression of glutathione-S-transferase by the EO waned with time posttreatment. Acetylcholinesterase and aspartate aminotransferase activity increased significantly after 18 h of inhalation while a similar trend was superoxide observed in dismutase activity after 12 h. Glycogen depletion increased with time post-exposure to the EO and protein depletion was more evident at the 6<sup>th</sup> hour of observation. Acetylcholinesterase is a serine protease found at cholinergic synapses where it terminates synaptic transmission by hydrolysis of the neurotransmitter

acetylcholine. Some insecticidal agents to inhibit have been reported acetylcholinesterase activity, thereby, producing a deleterious effect on the insect as it causes excessive accumulation of the neurotransmitter. This results in hyperactivity, paralysis and eventual death of insect (Fournier & Mutero, 1994). During normal function of AChE, a serine-histidineglutamate triad, located in the active site of the enzyme, catalyzes the hydrolysis of acetylcholine in a stepwise manner releasing choline and acetic acid (Quinn, 1987). Usually, acetylcholinesterase inhibitors attack the serine hydroxyl group in the enzyme active site and form a covalent bond (Mercey et al., 2012). The AChE inhibition observed in this study could be due to the formation of a complex at the enzyme active site but the inhibition was not irreversible as AChE activity increased significantly after 18 h of exposure to the EO.

Table 4: Activity of biochemical parameters determined in cowpea weevils exposed to serial concentrations of lemongrass oil.

Concentratio	n	Biochemical activity								
of EO (mg/n	nl) AChE	AST	ALT	GLY	GSH	GST	LDH	SOD	TPR	
0	0.035a	0.380a	0.215a	26.049a	0.076a	0.0010a	6.294ab	0.450a	3.884a	
1.41	0.027ab	0.363b	0.224a	17.426b	0.074a	0.0009a	5.877bc	0.213b	2.802b	
2.83	0.024abc	0.347c	0.219a	12.486c	0.064a	0.0008a	6.157abc	0.248b	2.572b	
5.66	0.014c	0.338d	0.223a	7.576d	0.062a	0.0005b	7.183a	0.227b	1.757c	
n-hexane	0.019bc	0.378a	0.210a	23.683a	0.083a	0.0010a	4.895c	0.242b	3.850a	
Volues with	similar lattons in	the come	0.0111000 000	not sign	figantly di	fformate at	0.05 laval at	f muchabil	try AChE	

Values with similar letters in the same column are not significantly different at 0.05 level of probability. AChE: Acetylcholinesterase, AST: Aspartate aminotransferase, ALT: Alanine aminotransferase, GLY: Glycogen, GSH: Reduced glutathione, GST: Glutathione-S-transferase, LDH: Lactate dehydrogenase, SOD: Superoxide dismutase, TPR: Total protein.

Table 5: Activity of biochemical parameters determined at 6-hour intervals in cowpea weevils exposed to serial concentrations of lemongrass oil.

Time post-	Biochemical activity								
exposure (h)	AChE	AST	ALT	GLY	GSH	GST	LDH	SOD	TPR
6	0.016b	0.344b	0.224a	20.433a	0.057c	0.0006c	6.531ab	0.180b	2.394c
12	0.018b	0.354ab	0.210a	19.072a	0.075b	0.0007bc	5.204c	0.150b	2.762b
18	0.022b	0.328b	0.227a	14.520b	0.094a	0.0010a	6.910a	0.425a	3.277a
24	0.039a	0.388a	0.211a	15.750b	0.062bc	0.0010a	5.680bc	0.348a	3.459a

Values with similar letters in the same column are not significantly different at 0.05 level of probability. AChE: Acetylcholinesterase, AST: Aspartate aminotransferase, ALT: Alanine aminotransferase, GLY: Glycogen, GSH: Reduced glutathione, GST: Glutathione-S-transferase, LDH: Lactate dehydrogenase, SOD: Superoxide dismutase, TPR: Total protein.

The reduced glutathione (GSH), a free radical scavenger, is known to be an important cellular protectant against reactive oxygen metabolites in many insect cells that are concerned with pesticide detoxification (Büyükgüzel, 2009). The enhanced GSH level observed in this study within 18 h of inhaling fumes from the EO could be an adaptive mechanism which allows the insects to scavenge the free radical damaging systems thereby maintaining the integrity of the cell membrane and other biomolecules like proteins. polysaccharides and DNA. Glutathione-S-transferase (GST) which catalyzes the conjugation of GSH with a variety of electrophilic metabolites participates in defense against oxidative stress. It does this by detoxifying endogenous harmful compounds like hydroxyl alkenal and base propenal or DNA hydroperoxides and electrophilic xenobiotic, and is known to provide protection against oxidative/nitrosative stress by GSHmediated process of reactive products of lipid peroxidation (Kim et al., 2003). Similar to GSH, the GST activity was induced and this might indicate an adaptive response to the oxidative stress triggered by the applied EO. This probably suggests involvement and activation of GST-dependent xenobiotic metabolism (Kolawole et al., 2011). The of induction GST is considered beneficial to handle environmental stress (van der Oost et al., 2003) while overexpression of GST could be an important means of cell protection during physiological stress. The GST stimulation in treated insects might be due to citral that is in the EO. It is a constituent of C. Citratus oil-made of mixture of geranial and neral stereoisomers. Citral was reported to possess a significant ability to suppress oxidative stress probably through induction of the endogenous antioxidant glutathione system (Nakamura et al., 2003). Superoxide dismutases (SODs) metalloproteins are with sufficient activity for dismutation of superoxide anions that are produced as a result of oxidative stress (Beedham et al., 1995) thereby protecting cells against damage. The SOD reacts with superoxide radicals and converts them to  $H_2O_2$ , catalyzed by catalase or GSH peroxidase (Kakkar et al., 1984). It could be inferred that the time-dependent increase in SOD activity in treated bean weevils was a possible survival mechanism in order to reduce possible oxidative (or toxic) stress posed by the applied essential oil (Al-Omar et al., 2004). Aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and alanine aminotransferase (ALT) are key enzymes that catalyze the synthesis of glutamic acid from aspartic acid and alanine, respectively. These important enzymatic processes usually occur in the final stage of development in insects (Gowda & Ramaiah, 1976) and changes in transaminase levels are consistent with the sum total of anabolism and catabolism of protein. The time-dependent increase in AST activity observed in this study was a form of physiological response aimed at initiating amino acid synthesis with a view to overcoming damage caused by the EO-induced stress (Gowda & Ramaiah, 1976). Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) is an important glycolytic enzyme that is present in almost all 24 animal tissues (Kaplan & Pesce, 1996). The enzyme helps in energy generation especially when a considerable amount of additional energy is required immediately (Nathan et al., 2006). A marginal reduction in LDH activity was observed when lower concentrations (1.41 and 2.83 mg/ml) of the EO were applied. The decrease denotes reduction in energy metabolism of the insect probably because of the effect of toxic phytoconstituents on membrane the gut permeability, especially of epithelium (Nathan et al., 2006). Reduction in LDH activity could also be due to a physiological disturbance at the molecular level as a result of depression or mutation of genes responsible for biosynthesis of the polypeptide chains that build LDH (Hassanien et al., 1996). However, a stress-induced marginal increase in LDH activity resulted when a higher concentration (5.66 mg/ml) of the oil was applied, apparently as a means of compensating for depleted protein. The of glycogen in stressed depletion organisms is associated with an increase in the utilization of food reserve (Sancho al.. 1998). The consistent et concentration-dependent reduction in glycogen level reported in the current study is an evidence that treated weevils were under stress and they needed additional energy to match the increase in demand as a result of the physiological stress induced by the EO. Inhalation of the EO caused significant protein depletion in bean weevils and this was more evident within the first 12 h of exposure. Protein depletion correlates with break-down of proteins into amino acids, and their entrance into the Krebs cycle as keto acid. Thus, protein depletion in tissues plays a role in compensatory mechanisms under insecticidal stress to provide intermediates in the Krebs cycle (Shekari et al., 2008; Zibaee et al., 2008). Protein depletion in a stressed organism could also be attributed to inability of proteinsynthesizing mechanisms to function properly. The subsequent increase in total protein level beyond 12th hour of inhalation could be due to ability of the insects to counter damaging effects of the EO through specific physiological lemongrass mechanisms. The oil exhibited effective insecticidal action against the cowpea weevils and could, therefore, be considered as an alternative agent for protecting cowpea seeds in storage, especially, by rural farmers in tropical and subtropical regions. Insecticides are costly and not sustainable in the long run due to environmental contamination whereas EOs contain a range of selective bioactive chemicals with insignificant or harmful effect on non-target no organisms and the environment (Vinayaka et al., 2010). The use of plant would be cost effective oil and sustainable, especially considering that this plant is easy to grow. In addition, the grass is safe to users as evidenced by the fact that it is used as culinary spices and herbs.

#### **Author Contributions**

BA conceptualised this work as part of SE's postgraduate Degree program. The research was co-designed and executed by BA, SE and OJ; BA and SE carried

out the biochemical assays while OJ and SE investigated the behavioural responses. SE, BA and OJ analysed obtained data and prepared the manuscript for publication.

#### References

- Adeyemi MM, 2010. The potential of secondary metabolites in plant material as deterrents against insect pests A review. African Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry **4**(11): 243–246.
- Al-Omar MA, Beedham C, Alsarra IA, 2004. Pathological roles of reactive oxygen species and their defence mechanisms. Saudi Pharmaceutical Journal **12**(1): 1– 18.
- Al-Zaidi AA, Elhag EA, Al-Otaibi SH, Baig MB, 2011. Negative effects of pesticides on the environment and the farmers' awareness in Saudi Arabia: A case study. The Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences 21(3): 605–611.
- Ayvaz A, Sagdic O, Karaborklu, S, Ozturk I, 2010. Insecticidal activity of the essential oils from different plants against three stored-product insects. Journal of Insect Science **10**: 21.
- Bakkali F, Averbeck S, Averbeck D, Idaomar M, 2008. Biological effects of essential oils – A Review. Food and Chemical Toxicology 46: 446–475.
- Beedham C, Peet CF, Panoutsopoulos GI, Carter H, Smith JA, 1995. Role of aldehyde oxidase in biogenic amine metabolism. Progress in Brain Research **106**: 345–353.
- Beutler E, Duron O, Kelly, BM, 1963. Improved method for the determination

of blood glutathione. The Journal of Laboratory and Clinical Medicine **61**: 882–888.

- Büyükgüzel E, 2009. Evidence of oxidative and antioxidative responses by *Galleria mellonella* larvae to malathion. Journal of Economic Entomology **102**(1): 152– 159.
- Carianne B, 2005. Organic lemongrass, a guide for small holders. EPOPA (Export Promotion of Organic Products from Africa), pp. 1–27.
- Caswel GH, 1981. Damage to stored cowpea in the northern part of Nigeria. Samaru Journal of Agricultural Research 5: 4–5.
- Cetin H, Erler F, Yanikoglu A, 2004. Larvicidal activity of a botanical natural product, AkseBio2, against *Culex pipiens*. Fitoterapia **75**: 724–728.
- Don-Pedro KN, 1989. Mode of action of fixed oils against egg of *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.). Pest Management Science **26**(2): 107–115.
- Dwivedi SC, Kumari A, 2000. Efficacy of *Ipomoea palmata* as ovipositional deterrent, ovicide and repellent against beetle, *Callosobruchus chinensis* (L.). Uttar Pradesh Journal of Zoology 20(3): 205–208.
- Edwin IE, Jacob IE, 2017. Bio-insecticidal potency of five plant extracts against cowpea weevil, *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.), on stored cowpea, *Vigna unguiculata* (L). Jordan Journal of Biological Sciences **10**(4): 317–322.
- Ellman GL, Courtney KD, Andres V Jr, Feather-Stone RM, 1961. A new and rapid colorimetric determination of acetylcholinesterase activity. Biochemical Pharmacology 7(2): 88–95.

- Fournier D, Mutero A, 1994. Modification of acetylcholinesterase as a mechanism of resistance to insecticides. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part C: Pharmacology, Toxicology and Endocrinology **108**(1): 19–31.
- Gavrilescu M, 2005. Fate of pesticides in the environment and its bioremediation. Engineering *in* Life Sciences **5**(6): 497– 536.
- Gowda VT, Ramaiah TR, 1976. Effect of induced polyhydrosis on haemolymph transaminases in the larvae of the silkworm, *Bombyx mori*. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology **28**(2): 271–272.
- Habig WH, Pabst MJ, Jakoby WB, 1974. Glutathione S-transferases: The first enzymatic step in mercapturic acid formation. Journal of Biological Chemistry **249**: 7130–7139
- Hama H, Kono Y, Sato Y, 1987. Decreased sensitivity of central nerve to fenvalerate in the pyrethroid-resistant diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* Linne (Lepidoptera: Yponomeutidae). Applied Entomology and Zoology **22**: 176–180.
- Hapke C, Kirchert J, Dickler E, Zebitz CPW, 2001. Combination of pheromone and an additive for the control of codling moth, *Cydia pomonella*. Pheromones for Insect Control in Orchards and Vineyards. IOBC wprs Bulletin 24(2): 37–41.
- Hassanien AHM, Baker RFA, Saleh NA, El-Bermawy SM, 1996. Biochemical aberrations induced by three insect growth regulators in the house fly, *Musca domestica* L. (Diptera: Muscidae). Ain Shams Science Bulletin 34: 319–350.
- Isman MB, 2000. Plant essential oils for pest and disease management. Crop

Protection **19**: 603–608.

- Jayasingha P, Warnasooriya D, Dissanayake H, 1999. Lemongrass (*Cymbopogon citratus*): a literature survey. Medicinal and Aromatic Plant Series, No 9, Information Service Center, ITI, Colombo, Sri Lanka.
- Kabera J, Gasogo A, Uwamariya A, Ugirinshuti V, Nyetera P, 2011.
  Insecticidal effects of essential oils of *Pelargonium graveolens* and *Cymbopogon citratus* on *Sitophiliszeamais* (Motsch.). African Journal of Food Science 5(6): 366–375.
- Kakkar P, Das B, Viswanathan PN, 1984. A modified spectrophotometric assay of superoxide dismutase. Indian Journal of Biochemistry and Biophysics **211**: 131– 132.
- Kaplan LA, Pesce AJ, 1996. Clinical Chemistry: Theory Analysis and Correlation. Mosby-Year Book, St. Louis MO, Missouri, USA.
- Ketoh GK, Glitho KH, Koumaglo KH, Garneau FX, 2000. Evaluation of essential oil from six aromatic plants in Togo for *Callosobruchus maculatus* F. pest control. Insect Science and its Application **20**(1): 45–51.
- Kim SJ, Shin YH, Kim K, Park EH, Sa JH, Lim CJ 2003. Regulation of the gene encoding glutathione synthetase from the fission yeast. Journal of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology **36**(3): 326–331.
- Kolawole AO, Okonji RE, Ajele JO, 2011. *Tithonia diversifolia, Cyperus rotundus* and *Hyptis suaveloensis* ethanol extracts combinatorially and competitively inhibit affinity purified cowpea storage bruchid (*Callosobruchus maculatus*)

glutathione S-transferase. Arthropod-Plant Interact **5**:175–184.

- Kumar S, Chandra A, Pandey KC, 2008. Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) transgenic crop: an environment friendly insect-pest management strategy. Journal of Environmental Biology **29**: 641–653.
- Linares S, Gonzalez N, Gómez E, Usubillaga A, Darghan E, 2005. Effect of the fertilization, plant density and time of cutting on yield and quality of the essential oil of *Cymbopogon citratus* Stapf. Revista de la Facultad de Agronomia, Universidad del Zulia **22**(3): 247–260.
- Linde CD, 1994. Physico-chemical properties and environmental fate of pesticides. Environmental Hazards Assessment Program State of California. Environmental Protection Agency, Department of Pesticide Regulation, Environmental Monitoring and Pest Management Branch, Sacramento, CA 95814, EH 94-03, 53 p.
- Lowry OH, Rosebrough NJ, Farr AL, Randall RJ, 1951. Protein measurement with the Folin phenol reagent. Journal of Biological Chemistry **193**: 265–275.
- Luthra R, Luthra PM, Kumar S, 1999. Redefined role of mevalonate isoprenoid pathway in terpenoid biosynthesis in higher plants. Current Science **76**: 133– 135.
- McCord JM, Fridovich, I, 1969. Superoxide dismutase: An enzymic function for erythrocuprein (hemocuprein). The Journal of Biological Chemistry **244** (22): 6049–6055.
- Mercey G, Verdelet T, Renou J, Kliachyna M, Baati R, Nachon F, Jean L, Renard P-Y, 2012. Reactivators of

acetylcholinesterase inhibited by organophosphorus nerve agents. Accounts of Chemical Research **45**(5): 756–766

- Nakamura Y, Miyamoto M, Murakami A, Ohigashi H, Osawa T, Uchida K, 2003. A phase II detoxification enzyme inducer from lemongrass: Identification of citral and involvement of electrophilic reaction in the enzyme induction. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications **302**(3): 593–600.
- Nathan SS, Kalaivani K, Chung PG, Murugan K, 2006. Effect of neem limonoids on lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) of the rice leaffolder, *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis* (Guenée) (Insecta: Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). Chemosphere **62**(8): 1388–1393.
- Nedal MF, Hassan FD, 2009. Changes in detoxifying enzymes and carbohydrate metabolism associated with spinetoram in field-collected strains of *Spodoptera littoralis* (Biosd.). Egyptian Academic Journal of Biology and Science **1**(1): 15–26
- Ogunsina OO, Oladimeji MO, Lajide L, 2011. Insecticidal action of hexane extracts of three plants against bean weevil, *Callosobruchus maculatus* F., and maize weevil, *Sitophilus zeamais Motsch*. Journal of Ecology and Natural Environment **3**(1): 23–28
- Oluwafemi AR, 2012. Comparative effects of three plant powders and pirimiphosmethyl against the infestation of *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) in cowpea seeds. SOAJ Entomology1: 87–99
- Oyedapo OO, Araba BG, 2001. Stimulation of protein biosynthesis in the hepatocytes by extracts of *Momordica*

*charantia*. Phytotheraphy Research **15**: 95–98.

- Papachristos DP, Stamopoulos DC, 2004. Fumigant toxicity of three essential oils on the eggs of *Acanthoscelides obtectus* (Say) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). Journal of Stored Products Research **40**(5): 517– 525.
- Parugrug ML, Roxas AC, 2008. Insecticidal action of five plants against maize weevil, *Sitophilus zeamais* Motsch. (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). KMITL Science and Technology Journal **8**(1): 24–38
- Pathak N, Yadav TD, Vasudevan P, 1997. Contact and fumigant action of volatile essential oil of *Murraya koenigii* against *C. chinensis*. Indian Journal of Entomology **59**(2):198–202.
- Quinn DM, 1987. Acetylcholinesterase: Enzyme structure, reaction dynamics, and virtual transition states. Chemical Reviews **87**: 955–979
- Rattan RS, 2010. Mechanism of action of insecticidal secondary metabolites of plant origin. Crop Protection **29**(9): 913–920.
- Reitman S, Frankel S, 1957. A calorimetric method for the determination of serum glutamic oxaloacetic acid and glutamic pyruvic transaminases. American Journal of Clinical Pathology **28**: 56–63.
- Sancho E, Ferrando MD, Fernandez C, Andreu E, 1998. Liver energy metabolism of *Anguilla anguilla* after exposure to fenitrothion. Ecotoxicology Environmental Safety **41**: 168–175.
- Santoro GF, Cardoso MG, Guimarães LG, Freire JM, Soares MJ, 2007. Antiproliferative effect of the essential oil of

*Cymbopogon citratus* (DC) Stapf. (lemongrass) on intracellular amastigotes, bloodstream trypomastigotes and culture epimastigotes of *Trypanosoma cruzi* (Protozoa: Kinetoplastida). Parasitology **134**(11): 1649–1656.

- Schaneberg BT, Khan IA, 2002. Comparison of extraction methods for marker compounds in the essential oil of lemongrass by GC. Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry **50**: 1345–1349.
- Shekari M, Jalali SJ, Etebari K, Zibaee A, Shadparvar А, 2008. Effects of Artemisia annua L. (Asteracea) on nutritional physiology and enzyme of elm activities leaf beetle. Xanthogaleruca luteola Mull. (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology 91: 66–74.
- Sun CN, 1992. Insecticide resistance in diamondback moth. In: Talekar NS, ed. Proceedings of the Second International Workshop on Diamondback moth and other crucifer pests, Asian Vegetable Research and Development Centre, Shanhua, Taiwan, pp. 419–426.
- Tripathi AK, Upadhyay S, Bhuiyan M, Bhattacharya PR, 2009. A review on prospects of essential oils as bio pesticide in insect-pest management. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytotherapy 1(5): 52–63.
- Upadhyay RK, 2011. Kareel plant: A natural source of medicines and nutrients. International Journal of Green Pharmacy **5**(4): 255–265.
- van der Oost R, Beyer J, Vermeulen NPE, 2003. Fish bioaccumulation and biomarkers in environmental risk assessment: a review. Environmental

Toxicology and Pharmacology **13**(2): 57–149.

- Vinayaka KS, Prashith-Kekuda TR, Nandini KC, Rakshitha MN, Ramya M, Shruthi J, Nagashree GR, Anitha B, 2010. Potent insecticidal activity of fruits and leaves of *Capsicum frutescens* (L.) var. *longa* (Solanaceae). Der Pharmacia Lettre **2**: 172–176
- Weeks ENI, Logan JG, Gezan SA, Woodcock CM, Birkett MA, Pickett JA, Cameron MM, 2011. A bioassay for studying behavioural responses of the common bed bug, *Cimex lectularius* (Hemiptera: Cimicidae) to bed bug-derived volatiles. Bulletin of Entomology Research 101: 1–8.
- Weisshaar D, Gossrau E, Faderl B, 1975. Normal ranges of alpha-HBDH, LDH, AP and LAP as measured with substrateoptimated test charges. Die Medizinische Welt **26**(9): 387–392.
- Zibaee A, Jalali-Sendi J, Etebari K, Alinia F, Ghadamyari M, 2008. The effect of diazinon on some biochemical characteristics of *Chilo suppressalis* Walker (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae), rice striped stem borer. Munis Entomology and Zoology 3(1): 255–264.